

Appendix 6 ISA Reg18 Amendment Screening

IPS Sections 1 - 3

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
Section 1 Introduction New paragraphs 1.4 & 1.5	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, introduces the economic and housing challenges the island faces.	No, provides strategic context	No
Section 3 New Strategic Policy CC1 Climate Change	Supports the medium and longer term targets for net carbon zero set out in the council's Climate and Environment Strategy.	No, viability assessment of policy requirements of net zero demonstrate no significant impact upon development.	No
Section 3 New Strategic Policy AFF1 Isle of Wight Affordable Housing	Sets an Island definition of affordable housing on the Isle of Wight that requires a deeper minimum discount from market value in order to be locally affordable.	No, provides local evidence to deliver national policy within a particular set of local circumstances.	No
Section 3 Policy AFF1	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, wording revision to reflect Housing Affordability SPD and parish level housing needs surveys	No, provides local evidence to deliver national policy within a particular set of local circumstances.	No
Section 3 New Strategic Policy INF1 Infrastructure	Sets the strategic context for infrastructure delivery requirements from new development.	No, provides strategic context for infrastructure requirements on the Island.	No
All other changes relate solely to formatting and updating of baseline information.			

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
EV1 Changes made to policy wording to better align with national policy (on recommendation of Historic England).	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
Paragraph 4.16 Addition of reference to Heritage at Risk register	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV2 Changes made to policy wording to better align with national policy and reflect change in approach to net gain for the environment and links to LNRS.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
Policy EV2 – strengthening of policy wording relating to LNRS	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV2 Revision of supporting text (para 4.2.1) to clarify the requirement for appropriate assessment.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV3 Policy wording changes to reflect accurate designation descriptions.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV3 Supporting text para.s 4.38 – 4.43 revised to add clarity on requirement for SANGS to align with Natural England advice.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV3 Supporting text para 4.37 inclusion of reference to Solent Waders and Brent Goose Strategy to align with Natural England advice.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV4 Policy wording changes to reflect accurate designation descriptions.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV4 Addition of supporting text para.s 4.52 – 4.54 referring to nutrient mitigation supply and demand analysis.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but addresses statutory consultee concerns.	No	No

EV5 Policy wording changes to strengthen policy approach to woodland buffers and links to LNRS.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV5 Addition of supporting text para 4.60 to provide clarity on replacement woodland in line with national policy.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV6 Policy wording changes to strengthen policy requirements and delivery of new open space.	Doesn't change policy intent but strengthens potential delivery.	No	No
EV6 Revisions to supporting para 4.69 to make explicit reference to evidence base supporting policy requirement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
EV6 Removal of reference to SANGS as now within policy EV3.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
EV7 Removal of 2 nd policy sentence as repetition of supporting text.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
EV8 Policy wording changes to remove ambiguity and link to policy E4.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
EV9 Policy wording changes to strengthen policy requirements.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
EV9 Addition to supporting text para 4.84 to make explicit reference to evidence base supporting policy requirement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
EV10 Addition of Northwood to Newport settlement gap reference in policy to align with evidence base.	Formalising existing map delineation of settlement gap to join other identified gaps.	No	No
EV11 First sentence of policy revised to better reflect NPPF.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No
EV11 Addition of word 'setting' to 2 nd policy criterion to align with SHLAA	Links policy to evidence base with greater clarity, but does not alter nature or intent of policy.	No	No
EV11 and supporting paragraphs – renamed and revised to reflect change in name from AONB to IOW National Landscape	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
EV12 Wording addition to final sentence of policy to provide clarity on policy requirement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No

EV13 Policy wording changes to strengthen policy requirements	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
EV13 Revision of policy criteria g and h to reflect comments from statutory undertaker to provide clarity on infrastructure requirements for new development.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
Policy EV13 Revision of bullet (g) to add explicit requirement for new development to separate foul and surface water and the later to not be connected to the sewer unless no other feasible option is available	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
EV14 Policy wording changes to strengthen policy requirements	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
Policy EV14 – revision of bullet (d) policy wording to add explicit requirement for new development to separate foul and surface water	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
EV15 Policy wording changes to strengthen policy requirements and supporting text addition to reference evidence base.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
EV16 First sentence of policy moved to supporting text.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
EV17 Policy wording change to reference AONB and heritage coast.	Referencing change to strengthen plan, does not alter policy or plan.	No	No
EV18 Changes to policy wording to clarify intent for major development to contribute towards identified coastal defence projects.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
EV19 Addition to supporting text to reference national marine plan.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
------------------	---	---	---------------------------------------

C1 Amendments to policy wording including 2 additional requirements on water efficiency measures and '20 minute neighbourhood' principle re LTP.	No, supports requirements of other policies (e.g. EV13 and T2).	No	No
C1 Addition in bullet (g) of reference to swift bricks and bee bricks in new development	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C3 Revision to policy to clarify requirements for health impact assessments.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C4 supporting text, inclusion of reference to collection of financial contributions towards primary healthcare infrastructure	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C5 Clarification on policy requirements for private dwellings to meet Part M4(2) Building Regulations	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C6 Supporting text addition to clarify type of information required to support a planning application.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C9 Revision to policy wording to reflect all education facilities (instead of just schools).	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides more detail on the range of education facilities supported by the plan.	No	No
C10 Amendment to align with targets and objectives of the council's Climate and Environment Strategy.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides policy support for proposals that will lead to the delivery of schemes that will help the council meet it's (Climate and Environment) Strategy.	No	No
C10 Addition of wording to flag energy security and resilience	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
C10 Wording revision to strengthen role of designated areas in steering	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No

location of large scale renewable schemes			
C11 Addition to policy wording to include thresholds targeted at meeting strategy objectives, and providing reference to a future SPD to provide guidance on achieving net zero and considerations for reaching net zero.	Additional requirements have been assessed through the plan viability assessment with no significant impacts predicted.	No	No
C11 Addition of wording to flag energy security and resilience	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
C11 Amendments to supporting text to provide detail to the policy amendments.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C12 Policy amendments to align with new policy INF1 and provide clarity on provision of infrastructure supporting new development.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C13 Removal of supporting text wording to improve clarity of policy intent.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
C15 Additional policy wording identifying areas of material consideration that could be addressed through neighbourhood plans.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity for lower tier plans.	No	No

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
------------------	---	---	---------------------------------------

G1 Lifting of policy text and placing in policy H1 as more relevant to policy area.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan.	No	No
G2 Policy wording amendment to provide clarity on implementation of spatial strategy through housing.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
G2 Supporting text addition setting out evidence base supporting settlement hierarchy.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan.	No	No
G3 Policy wording amendments to align with new policies AFF1 and INF1.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan.	No	No
Policy G3 Addition of requirement to make financial contributions to new or expanded primary healthcare facilities in line with NHS ICB requirements at the time of application (addition of new paragraph 6.24 to support this policy revision)	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development, contributions (up to £600 per unit) fall into generic s.106 contributions per site (£6k per unit), allowed for in the viability assessment supporting the IPS.	No	No
G3 Addition to policy wording criterion b to reference LTP and LCWIP	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
G3 Deletion of supporting text relating to SANGS and BNG to avoid repetition of other policies (EV2 & EV3)	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
G4 Amendment to policy text to provide clarity on requirements on application regarding viability appraisals.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
G5 Additional policy text to reflect updated evidence base and	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides evidence and context for policy requirement.	No	No

supporting text on local housing market.			
G5 Updated to reflect the Levelling Up & Regeneration Act and new legislation that aids LPAs in ensuring permissions granted are built out.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but increases conformity with national policy.	No	No

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
H1 Minor revisions to quantum (reduction from 486 to 479dpa) of housing requirement and distribution of sources of supply.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
H1 update to housing requirement in the plan – reduced from 479 dwellings per annum to 453 dwellings per annum as another years monitoring data to feed into calculation	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
H1 Policy revision to include defined housing requirement for designated neighbourhood areas.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides clarity on conformity with national policy.	No	No
H1 Addition of Nettlestone & Seaview as 6 th designated neighbourhood area	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides clarity on conformity with national policy.	No	No
H1 adjustments to figures in sources of supply	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, reflects most up-to-date monitoring of sites.	No	No
H1 Addition of policy wording previously in H2 relating to 5yr land supply situation.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No

H1 Supporting text factual changes to Table 7.1 Housing Trajectory to align with sources of supply.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides evidence and context for policy requirement.	No	No
Table 7.1 and paragraph 7.7 revised to reflect updated indicative development trajectory	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, reflects most up-to-date monitoring of sites.	No	No
Paragraph 7.10 updates to windfall statistics to incorporate a further year's monitoring	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides evidence and context for policy requirement.	No	No
Paragraph 7.18 addition of words to reflect key priority sites are public sector owned and medium – long term opportunities within the plan period	Doesn't change policy intent but strengthens potential delivery.	No	No
H2 Supporting text additional wording relating to sequential (flood) risk based approach to allocations.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides clarity on conformity with national policy.	No	No
KPS1 Policy wording amended to reflect reduced quantum expected for site due to site boundary revision.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
KPS1 Addition in policy and supporting text of reference to SPD to help masterplan this and other nearby allocations.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
KPS2 Revised policy and supporting text to align with SFRA and FRA.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
KPS2 addition of criterion (k) to reflect historic area appraisal	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
KPS2 Addition to supporting text linking to other nearby public estate	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides more detail on the range of regeneration opportunities within Newport supported by the plan.	No	No

assets and the potential for co-ordination.			
H3 Additional policy criterion referencing sequential approach to flood risk.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan, provides clarity on conformity with national policy.	No	No
H3 Revised policy criterion for sustainable transport adding reference to public rights of way and multi-use paths.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
H3 Addition of reference to collection of contributions towards primary care facilities where existing capacity is exceeded	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development, contributions (up to £600 per unit) fall into generic s.106 contributions per site (£6k per unit), allowed for in the viability assessment supporting the IPS.	No	No
H4 Addition of word 'only' to first sentence of policy to remove ambiguity.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
H5 Addition of policy wording and supporting text to reference new policy AFF1.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
H5 Revisions to 2 nd paragraph of policy to provide clarity on affordable housing expectations.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development and conformity with national policy.	No	No
H5 Addition of policy wording and supporting text to support alternative affordable housing tenure mixes that meet identified local need.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development and conformity with national policy.	No	No
H5 Change to expected tenure split to reflect more affordable / social rent properties (80/20 split) as set out in Housing Affordability SPD.	The viability report did address tenure split and includes a number of different scenarios relating to different affordable housing products.	No	No

H5 Addition of sources of data/information that should be used to inform any on site affordable housing mix	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
H5 Addition of reference to affordable housing being delivered in a 'tenure blind' way	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
H5 addition of local connection criteria requirement for affordable housing.	Alignment with requirements of Isle of Wight housing register and reflective of Housing Affordability SPD.	No	No
H7 Revisions to policy wording to further definition on requirement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
H8 Revisions to policy wording to reference latest housing needs assessment and/or local housing needs surveys.	No, provides local evidence to deliver national policy within a particular set of local circumstances.	No	No
H8 Revisions to suggested housing mix in policy as a result of viability update and Housing Needs Assessment.	No, provides local evidence to deliver national policy within a particular set of local circumstances.	No	No
H10 Removal of policy threshold and requirement for self-build plots based upon updated evidence.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
H10 Addition of reference to locational policies helping to steer where self-build will be supported and also inclusion of 'plot passport' approach.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
------------------	---	---	---------------------------------------

EA1 2 Additional policy criteria referencing site specific FRA and buffer zone to river.	No, provides local evidence to deliver national policy within a particular set of local circumstances.	No	No
EA3 Addition of policy wording to allow flexibility in the location of new employment land.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
E4 Removal of policy criterion as duplication of policy H6.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
E5 Renaming of policy to add reference to River Medina.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
E7 Revision of policy wording relating to traditional shop-fronts to reflect Heritage England comments.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
E7 Addition of policy wording supporting the evening economy (leading to deletion of separate policy on evening economy).	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
E8 Addition of policy wording and supporting text providing clarification on loss of tourist accommodation.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
E9 New policy on short term holiday let.	Yes, new policy with accompanying requirements.	No, passive/reactive in seeking to maintain existing housing stock and support specific use in certain areas.	No
E11 Revisions to policy wording to reflect latest version of Ryde Place Plan.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
E12 New policy on Solent Freeport	Yes, new policy with accompanying requirements.	No, passive in seeking to support a sub-regional economic strategy.	No

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
T1 & T2 policy ordering has been swapped to better reflect plan and corporate priorities and the LTP.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
T1 Additional policy criterion and supporting text referencing 20 minute neighbourhoods.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
T1 Additional policy requirement for major development to submit a travel plan.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
T2 Additional policy wording referencing the need for junction design to give due consideration to public transport, cyclists and pedestrians.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
T2 Revisions to policy and supporting text to reflect recent announcement of funding for Green Link	Doesn't substantially alter the plan	No	No
T2 New policy criterion e referencing LCWIPs.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
T3 Additional policy wording providing support for improvements to key interchanges.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
T4 Reworded policy criterion h relating to current and former railway routes.	Plan format change that does not alter plan intent.	No	No
T4 Additional policy criteria i & j providing policy support for extensions to existing rail services.	Providing support for potential future infrastructure provision, no substantive plan change.	No	No
T5 Revised policy wording to reflect requirement for electric vehicle	Update to align with national policy.	No	No

charging now controlled through building regs.			
T5 Additional policy wording to reference the Isle of Wight charge point infrastructure strategy.	No, provides local evidence to deliver national policy within a particular set of local circumstances.	No	No
T6 Additional policy wording and supporting text to reference displacement of existing parking.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No

Appendices 1 & 2

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
Revisions to reflect updates to monitoring and permissions granted in the last 12 months	Changes relate to updating of baseline information	No	No

Appendix 3

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
HA005 Additional wording suggested by Southern Water relating to sewerage network reinforcement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA006 Additional wording suggested by Southern Water relating to sewerage network reinforcement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA018 New site specific requirement focussed on flood risk and output from Level 2 SFRA.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No

HA020 Additional wording suggested by Southern Water relating to sewerage network reinforcement.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA022 Additional wording suggested by Southern Water relating to sewerage network reinforcement and site specific requirement focussed on flood risk and output from Level 2 SFRA	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA025 Additional wording for southern section of site reflecting the SHLAA output.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
HA031 Revision to criterion d to reference potential reopening/improvement of Taylor Rd.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA032 Additional wording relating to the necessity for a comprehensive approach to development taking into account other nearby allocations to enable a co-ordinated and sequential approach to development and infrastructure.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA033 New site specific requirement focussed on flood risk and output from Level 2 SFRA and requirements of policy EV2 in relation to river corridors.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No
HA037 Additional wording relating to the opportunity for shared access with health hub allocation (C4).	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development relating to future infrastructure provision.	No	No

HA064 new site specific requirement covering a range of development issues including housing mix, landscape, transport and flooding.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
HA065 new site specific requirement covering a range of development issues including housing mix, landscape, transport and flooding.	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
HA119 Revisions to reflect policy changes around health contributions and addition of site specific guidance for allocated site (Pennyfeathers) following expiration of planning permission	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
Based on the assumptions set out in the viability appraisal (Aspinall Verdi 2022) the Draft Island Planning Strategy is viable on the basis of 35% affordable housing in line with draft IPS policies AFF1 and H5. This includes all policy and allocation updates carried out subsequent to the Regulation 18 consultation.			

Appendix 4

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
Revised to reflect updates to monitoring and permissions granted in the last 12 months	Changes relate to updating of baseline information	No	No

Glossary

IPS modification	Does the change substantially alter the plan?	Is the change likely to give rise to significant effects?	Further assessment required under SA?
-------------------------	--	--	--

Addition of definitions for Local Connection Criteria & Plot passport	Doesn't substantially alter the plan but provides clarity on requirements for development	No	No
---	---	----	----